Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 47495 PSP BUSINESS, INC. dba Hempstead Texaco RN103938106 Docket No. 2013-1651-PST-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Hempstead Texaco, 14632 Hempstead Road, Houston, Harris County

Type of Operation:

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: January 3, 2014

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$9,663

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$1,932 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$7,731 Total Due to General Revenue: \$0

Payment Plan: N/A **SEP Conditional Offset:** \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Satisfactory Site/RN - Satisfactory

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2011

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: June 18, 2013 and September 19, 2013

Date(s) of NOE(s): August 2, 2013

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 47495 PSP BUSINESS, INC. dba Hempstead Texaco RN103938106 Docket No. 2013-1651-PST-E

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to monitor the underground storage tank ("UST") for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring) [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1)].
- 2. Failed to provide release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the UST system [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a)].
- 3. Failed to report a suspected release to the TCEQ within 24 hours of discovery. Specifically, inventory control records for June and July 2013 indicated a suspected release that was not reported [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.72].
- 4. Failed to investigate a suspected release of regulated substance within 30 days of discovery. Specifically, inventory control records for June and July 2013 indicated a suspected release that was not investigated [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.74].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

Respondent implemented a release detection method for the UST and the piping associated with the UST system at the Facility on June 24, 2013.

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require Respondent to:

- a. Within 30 days:
- i. Establish and implement a process for reporting a suspected release; and
- ii. Conduct an investigation of the suspected release and implement appropriate corrective measures.
- b. Within 45 days, submit written certification demonstrating compliance with Ordering Provisions a.i. and a.ii.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A
Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A
SOAH Referral Date: N/A
Hearing Date(s): N/A
Settlement Date: N/A

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 47495 PSP BUSINESS, INC. dba Hempstead Texaco RN103938106 Docket No. 2013-1651-PST-E

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: John Fennell, Enforcement Division,

Enforcement Team 7, MC 128, (512) 239-2616; Candy Garrett, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-1456

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Piyar Ali, President, PSP BUSINESS, INC., 14632 Hempstead Road,

Houston, Texas 77040

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

.

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011 Assigned 5-Aug-2013 PCW 19-Sep-2013 Screening 19-Sep-2013 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent PSP BUSINESS, INC. dba Hempstead Texaco Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN103938106 Major/Minor Source Minor Facility/Site Region 12-Houston CASE INFORMATION No. of Violations 3 Enf./Case ID No. 47495 Order Type 1660 Docket No. 2013-1651-PST-E Government/Non-Profit No Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator John Fennell Multi-Media EC's Team Enforcement Team 7 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum \$0 Maximum \$25,000 Penalty Calculation Section \$8,750 TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. \$1,750 Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 **Compliance History** 20.0% Enhancement Enhancement for one order with a denial of liability. Notes Subtotal 4 \$0 0.0% Enhancement Culpability The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Subtotal 5 \$937 **Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments** \$0 Subtotal 6 0.0% Enhancement* **Economic Benefit** *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Total EB Amounts \$268 Approx. Cost of Compliance \$6,600 \$9,563 Final Subtotal **SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7**

Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance

associated with violation no. 2.

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g.

Notes

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

DEFERRAL

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

1.0%

20.0%

\$100

\$9,663

\$9,663

-\$1,932

\$7,731

Adjustment

Adjustment

Final Penalty Amount

Final Assessed Penalty

Reduction

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Screening Date 19-Sep-2013

Docket No. 2013-1651-PST-E

Respondent PSP BUSINESS, INC. dba Hempstead Texaco

Case ID No. 47495

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN103938106 **Media [Statute]** Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator John Fennell

Component	ry <i>Site</i> Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
7.	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)		20%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Addits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	Ō	0%
	Ple	ase Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 2)
eat Violator (Subtotal 3)		
No	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 3)
npliance Histo	ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Satisfactory	Performer Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7)
npliance Histo	ry Summary		
Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for one order with a denial of liability.		
	Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (S	Subtotals 2	3. & 7)

그 마음을 받는 사람들은 이 가는 것이 그를 받아 하지만 하셨다면 하셨다면 하셨다면 그를 하는데 없다.	nte 19-Sep-2013 Docket No. 2013-1651-PST-E	PCW
Respond Case ID		Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Reference		rew Revision August 3, 2011
	te] Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordina		
Violation Num		
Rule Cité	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and (b)(2) and Tex. Water Cod 26.3475(a) and (c)(1)	Je S
Violation Descrip	Failed to monitor the underground storage tank ("UST") for releases at a fr of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monit Also, failed to provide release detection for the pressurized piping associat the UST system.	oring).
	Base	Penalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Pro	perty and Human Health Matrix	
Rele	Harm ase Major Moderate Minor	
	tual	
Pote	ntial x Percent 15.0%	
>>Programmatic Matri		
Falsificat	on Major Moderate Minor	d record
	Percent 0.0%	u participation
	alth or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would excee re protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violat	8 NEW 2 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
13.45.45.45.4		
100 Paris 100 Pa	Adjustment	\$21,250
		\$3,750
		1 33,730
Violation Events	All the state of t	
Numbe	of Violation Events 1 6 Number of violation da	ys
	daily	
	weekly x	***
mark only with an	one quarterly Violation Base F	Penalty \$3,750
with an	semiannual	
	annual single event	
	- Jiiigle Creat	
One mon	thly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the Ju	ıne 18,
	2013 investigation to the June 24, 2013 compliance date.	
		#027
Good Faith Efforts to C	omply 25.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	\$937
	Extraordinary	7
	Ordinary x	
	N/A ((mark with x)	
	Notes The Respondent came into compliance on June 24, 2013, before the August 2, 2013 Notice of Enforcement.	
	Violation St	ubtotal \$2,813
Economic Benefit (EB)	for this violation Statutory Limit T	est
Esti	mated EB Amount \$1 Violation Final Penalt	y Total \$3,600
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	limits) \$3,600

g. Ent. Reference No. Media Violation No.	Petroleum Sto					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
and the state of t						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs				-			
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
			A	0.00	*A	n/a l	\$0
Training/Sampling					\$0		
Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
1				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal	\$1.500 Estimated cos		JST and the ass	0.00 0.00 0.02 ociated	\$0 \$0 \$1 pressurized piping	n/a n/a n/a g for releases. The d	\$0 \$0 \$1
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated cos	t to monitor the L the inve	JST and the ass stigation date. T	0.00 0.00 0.02 ociated he fina	\$0 \$0 \$1 pressurized piping I date is the comp	n/a n/a n/a g for releases. The d	\$0 \$0 \$1 late required is
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Estimated cos	t to monitor the L the inve	JST and the ass stigation date. T	0.00 0.00 0.02 ociated The fina enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$1 pressurized piping if date is the comp ig item (except i \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a g for releases. The d liance date. For one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$1 late required is led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Screening Date		PCW
Case ID No.		Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN103938106 Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinator	John Fennell	
Violation Number Rule Cite(s)		
Kule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.72	
	Failed to report a suspected release to the TCEQ within 24 hours of dis-	
Violation Description	Specifically, inventory control records for June and July 2013 indicated a release that was not reported.	suspected
	Bas	e Penalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Prope	rty and Human Health Matrix	TET THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPER
Release	Harm	777
OR Actua		
Potentia	Percent 0.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix	Major Moderato Minor	
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor x Percent 5.0%	
Matrix	100% of the rule requirement was not met.	
Notes		
	Adjustment	\$23,750
		\$1,250
Violation Events		
Number of	Violation Events 1 Number of violation	days
	daily	
	weekly monthly	·
mark only one with an x	quarterly Violation Base	Penalty \$1,250
	semiannual annual	
	single event x	No. of the Control of
	One single event is recommended.	
Good Faith Efforts to Com		\$0
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary	
	Ordinary	The state of the s
	N/A x (mark with x)	
	Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
	Violation	Subtotal \$1,250
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit	Test
	red EB Amount \$100 Violation Final Pena	
Latinat	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	
	i ilis viviation Filiai Assesseu Feliaity (adjusteu it	41,310

Respondent Case ID No.	PSP BUSINES	conomic S, INC. dba Hemp	resido do comedado constante a doparismo a	Wo	rksheet		
leg. Ent. Reference No.	RN103938106 Petroleum Sto					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
						5.0	15
		Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$			i,			
Delayed Costs							
Equipment		F 122 CO 102 CO		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	ANNUAL \$100	IZE [1] avoided	costs before 2-Aug-2013	enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	s0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	For one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$100 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$
Notes for AVOIDED costs	Estimated				se. The date requi	red is the date of th vas due.	e suspected
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$100			TOTAL		\$100

	ening Date			lo. 2013-1651-PST-E	enganggaga mananggag gamammagan ya ga a kina ani na kina na kina na kitif mati na hitif na hitif kata Kina Kin	PCW
		PSP BUSINESS, INC. dba Her	mpstead Texaco		Policy Revision 3 (Septemb	
	ase ID No.				PCW Revision August	: 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Ref						
	oordinator	Petroleum Storage Tank				
	tion Number	John rennen				
VIOIG	Rule Cite(s)					
		<u>.</u>	Tex. Admin. Code §	334.74		
		Failed to investigate a susp	ected release of requ	lated substance within 3	0 days of	
Violatio	n Description	discovery. Specifically, inver				
	-		d release that was no			
				Bas	e Penalty \$	25,000
>> Environmen	ital Proper	ty and Human Health	Matrix			
>> Environmen	icai, i ropei	Harm			•	
	Release	Major Moderate	Minor			
OR	Actual					
	Potential	x]		Percent 15.0%		
>>Drooss	ic Matrix					
>>Programmal	Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor			
	r dishredeon			Percent 0.0%	+	
Į.				£.,		
Matrix		or the environment will or co				
Notes	that are p	otective of human health or ϵ	environmentai recepti	ors as a result of the viol	auon.	
Į.						
				Adjustment	\$21,250	
						+2.750
						\$3,750
Violation Event	_		504			
VIOIATION EVENT	-					
	Number of \	iolation Events 1	19	Number of violation of	days	
		<u> </u>				
		daily				
*		weekly				
	mark only one	monthly x				
	with an x	quarterly		Violation Base	Penalty	3,750
		semiannual				
		annual				
		single event				
	One monthly	event is recommended during			31, 2013	
		to the Septembe	er 19, 2013 screening	date.		
L						
Good Faith Effo	rts to Com	o.0%	Reduction			\$0
\$500000 \$2000 personal property \$1000000 \$1000000 \$1000000 \$10000000000	***************************************		NOV to EDPRP/Settlement	Offer		
		Extraordinary				
		Ordinary				
		N/A	(mark with x)			
		The Decead	ent does not meet th	e good faith criteria for		
		Notes The Respond	this violation			
				127 . 1 1.	Cubtotal	2 750
				Violation	Subtotal	3,750
Economic Bene	fit (EB) for	this violation		Statutory Limit	Test	
						A E 2 = 1
	Estimat	ed EB Amount	\$167	Violation Final Pena	ity lotal \$	4,547
		This vio	lation Final Assesse	d Penalty (adjusted fo	or limits) \$	4,547
	100	4.25		1.7.7		

eg. Ent. Reference No. Media Violation No.	Petroleum Sto					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
						5.0	15
		Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
	4 x 3 x 12			at philosophic			
Delayed Costs	r	, ,		1	- 45		- 40
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$ 0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs							
				11 n nn 1	¢0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$5,000 Estimated co		suspected rele			n/a n/a the date the release	\$0 \$167 investigation
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	Estimated co	st to investigate a was due	suspected rele . The final date	0.67 ase. Th	\$167 ne date required is estimated date of	n/a the date the release	\$167 Investigation
Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co	st to investigate a was due	suspected rele . The final date	0.67 ase. Th	\$167 ne date required is estimated date of	n/a the date the release compliance. for one-time avoid	\$167 Investigation
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	Estimated co	st to investigate a was due	suspected rele . The final date	0.67 ase. The contents	\$167 ne date required is estimated date of age item (except)	n/a the date the release compliance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	\$167 e investigation ed costs) \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated co	st to investigate a was due	suspected rele . The final date	0.67 ase. The state of the stat	\$167 ne date required is estimated date of some section of the se	n/a the date the release compliance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0	\$167 e investigation ed costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated co	st to investigate a was due	suspected rele . The final date	0.67 ase. The content of the content	\$167 ne date required is estimated date of so	n/a the date the release compliance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$167 e investigation ed costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated co	st to investigate a was due	suspected rele . The final date	0.67 ase. The state of the stat	\$167 ne date required is estimated date of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	the date the release compliance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$167 e investigation ed costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Estimated co	st to investigate a was due	suspected rele . The final date	0.67 ase. This the content of the co	\$167 ne date required is estimated date of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	the date the release compliance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$167 e investigation ed costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated co	st to investigate a was due	suspected rele . The final date	0.67 ase. The state of the stat	\$167 ne date required is estimated date of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	the date the release compliance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$167 e investigation ed costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN603736109, RN103938106, Rating Year 2012 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2007, through August 31, 2012.

Customer, Respondent, or CN603736109, PSP BUSINESS, INC.

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 22.50

Owner/Operator: Regulated Entity:

RN103938106, Hempstead Texaco

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 22.50

Complexity Points:

Repeat Violator:

CH Group:

01 - Gas Stations with convenience Stores and other Gas Stations

Location:

14632 HEMPSTEAD RD HOUSTON, TX 77040-4012, HARRIS COUNTY

TCEO Region:

REGION 12 - HOUSTON

ID Number(s):

PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION REGISTRATION

5439

September 01, 2007 to August 31, 2012

Rating Year: 2012

Rating Date:

09/01/2012

Date Compliance History Report Prepared:

August 12, 2013

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:

Enforcement

Component Period Selected:

Compliance History Period:

August 12, 2008 to August 12, 2013

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: John Fennell

Phone: (512) 239-2616

Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

YES

3) If **YES** for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

PSP BUSINESS, INC. OWNER OPERATOR since 9/1/2010

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior

Delta Enterprise, Inc., OWNER OPERATOR, 7/1/2002 to 8/31/2010

owner(s)/operator(s)?

5) If **YES**, when did the change(s) in owner or operator

occur?

9/1/2010

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

1 Effective Date: 02/20/2011

ADMINORDER 2010-0966-PST-E (1660 Order-Agreed Order With Denial)

Classification: Moderate

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.242(3)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Description: Failed to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition, as specified by the manufacturer and/or any applicable California Air Resources Board Executive Order, and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system. Specifically, Stage II testing indicated a blockage in the system.

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.245(2)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Description: Failed to verify proper operation of Stage II equipment at least once every 12 months and the Stage II vapor space manifolding and dynamic back pressure at least once every 36 months or upon major system replacement or modification, whichever occurs first. Specifically, the Stage II annual and triennial compliance testing was not successfully completed.

B. Criminal convictions:

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

N/A

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

N/A

F. Environmental audits:

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

J. Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
PSP BUSINESS, INC. DBA	§	
HEMPSTEAD TEXACO	§	
RN103938106	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2013-1651-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 14632 Hempstead Road in Houston, Harris County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent's one underground storage tank ("UST") is not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about August 7, 2013.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Nine Thousand Six Hundred Sixty-Three Dollars (\$9,663) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Seven Thousand Seven Hundred

Thirty-One Dollars (\$7,731) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Nine Hundred Thirty-Two Dollars (\$1,932) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent implemented a release detection method for the UST and the piping associated with the UST system at the Facility on June 24, 2013.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to monitor the UST for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on June 18, 2013.
- 2. Failed to provide release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the UST system, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on June 18, 2013.
- 3. Failed to report a suspected release to the TCEQ within 24 hours of discovery, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.72, as documented during a record review conducted on September 19, 2013. Specifically, inventory control records for June and July 2013 indicated a suspected release that was not reported.

PSP BUSINESS, INC. dba Hempstead Texaco DOCKET NO. 2013-1651-PST-E Page 3

4. Failed to investigate a suspected release of regulated substance within 30 days of discovery, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.74, as documented during a record review conducted on September 19, 2013. Specifically, inventory control records for June and July 2013 indicated a suspected release that was not investigated.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: PSP BUSINESS, INC. dba Hempstead Texaco, Docket No. 2013-1651-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
 - i. Establish and implement a process for reporting a suspected release, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.72; and
 - ii. Conduct an investigation of the suspected release and implement appropriate corrective measures, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.74.
 - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a.i. and 2.a.ii. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and

PSP BUSINESS, INC. dba Hempstead Texaco DOCKET NO. 2013-1651-PST-E Page 4

complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager Houston Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 5425 Polk Avenue, Suite H Houston, Texas 77023-1486

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or

PSP BUSINESS, INC. dba Hempstead Texaco DOCKET NO. 2013-1651-PST-E Page 5

otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. Org. Code § 1.002.

8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

Authorized Representative of

PSP BUSINESS, INC. dba Hempstead Texaco

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
Pen Mour J For the Executive Director	2/26/14 Date
agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf	the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to of the entity indicated below my signature, and I therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in naterially relying on such representation.
 and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amoun A negative impact on compliance histor Greater scrutiny of any permit application Referral of this case to the Attorney additional penalties, and/or attorney fee Increased penalties in any future enforced Automatic referral to the Attorney General TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized 	y; ons submitted; General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, es, or to a collection agency; ement actions; neral's Office of any future enforcement actions;
Signature Name (Printed on typed)	Date PRESIDENT
Name (Printed or typed)	Title RESIDENT

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.